## Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board <br> Voting for August 2016

Table 1. Parole Docket, August 2016*

|  | Docket Total |  | Favorable Votes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Non-Violent | 137 | $66.8 \%$ | 33 | $24.1 \%$ |
| Violent | 68 | $33.2 \%$ | 5 | $7.4 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 \%}$ |

* Ineligible not included in analysis (18 or 8.1\%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=205)

|  | Non-Violent (137) |  | Violent (68) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent |
| Vanessa Price (Chair) | 14 | $10.2 \%$ | 1 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Patricia High (Vice-Chair) | 31 | 22.6 | 7 | 10.3 |
| Robert "Brett" Macy | 36 | 26.3 | 10 | 14.7 |
| Thomas Gillert | 44 | 32.1 | 6 | 8.8 |
| William Latimer | 46 | 33.6 | 9 | 13.2 |
| BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4 \%}$ |

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=205)

|  | Victim | District <br> Attorney | Delegates <br> Supporting <br> the Offender |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Violent | 0 | 16 | 19 |
| Violent | 0 | 10 | 2 |
| TOTAL PROTESTS | 0 | 26 | 21 |

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=33)

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs) | 28 | $84.8 \%$ |
| Substance Abuse Treatment | 28 | 84.8 |
| Psychological Counseling | 15 | 45.5 |
| Sober Living Facility | 7 | 21.2 |
| Batterer's Program | 6 | 18.1 |
| Community Level/Work Release | 5 | 15.1 |
| Anger Management | 3 | 9.1 |
| Consecutive Sentence | 2 | 9.1 |
| Detainer | 2 | 6.1 |
| Interlock Device | 2 | 6.1 |
| Thinking for a Change | 1 | 6.1 |
| Education/GED | 1 | 3.0 |
| Mental Health | 1 | 3.0 |
| Restitution | 1 | 3.0 |
| Substance Abuse Education | 3.0 |  |

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ )

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Zero | 1 | $3.0 \%$ |
| One | 4 | 12.1 |
| Two | 3 | 9.1 |
| Three | 11 | 33.3 |
| Four | 9 | 27.3 |
| Five | 4 | 12.1 |
| Six | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 3.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |
| Average Number of Conditions (per offender) | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ |  |
| Range | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |

